

8 September 2023

REFS: SHA/25956 - 25985

APPEAL AGAINST NHS ENGLAND (SOUTH WEST AREA TEAM) DECISIONS REGARDING BREACH NOTICES AT 171 VICTORIA ROAD, SWINDON, SN1 3DF (FH416)

8th Floor
10 South Colonnade
Canary Wharf
London
E14 4PU

Tel: 0203 928 2000
Email: nhsr.appeals@nhs.net

1 Outcome

Case references 25956 - 25970 and 25972 - 25985

- 1.1 Pursuant to paragraph 9(5)(a) of Schedule 3 to the Regulations I confirm NHS England's decisions in respect of case references 25956 - 25970 and 25972 - 25985 to issue the Breach Notices identified at paragraph 1.1. and to withhold the amounts detailed at paragraph 5.52.

Case reference 25971

- 1.2 In respect of case reference 25971, pursuant to paragraph 9(5)(a) of Schedule 3 to the Regulations, I substitute NHS England's decision with a decision to issue the Breach Notice and to withhold £1000.

A copy of this decision is being sent to:

Jhoots Pharmacy
NHS England – South West

Advise / Resolve / Learn

NHS Resolution is the operating name of NHS Litigation Authority – we were established in 1995 as a Special Health Authority and are a not-for-profit part of the NHS. Our purpose is to provide expertise to the NHS on resolving concerns fairly, share learning for improvement and preserve resources for patient care. To find out how we use personal information, please read our privacy statement at <https://resolution.nhs.uk/privacy-cookies/primary-care-appeals/>



INVESTORS IN PEOPLE®
We invest in people Gold



8 September 2023

REFS: SHA/25956 - 25985

APPEAL AGAINST NHS ENGLAND (SOUTH WEST AREA TEAM) DECISIONS REGARDING BREACH NOTICES AT 171 VICTORIA ROAD, SWINDON, SN1 3DF (FH416)

8th Floor
10 South Colonnade
Canary Wharf
London
E14 4PU

Tel: 0203 928 2000
Email: nhsr.appeals@nhs.net

1 The Breach Notices

Breach Notices dated 6 April 2023 were sent to Jhoots Pharmacy in respect of premises at 171 Victoria Road, Swindon SN1 3DF regarding unplanned closures on the following dates:

1.1

Case Ref	Date of Breach
25956	12/01/2023
25957	13/01/2023
25958	16/01/2023
25959	17/01/2023
25960	18/01/2023
25961	19/01/2023
25962	20/01/2023
25963	23/01/2023
25964	24/01/2023
25965	25/01/2023
25966	26/01/2023
25967	27/01/2023
25968	30/01/2023
25969	31/01/2023
25970	01/02/2023
25971	02/02/2023
25972	03/02/2023
25973	06/02/2023
25974	07/02/2023
25975	08/02/2023
25976	09/02/2023
25977	10/02/2023
25978	13/02/2023
25979	14/02/2023
25980	15/02/2023
25981	16/02/2023
25982	17/02/2023

25983	20/02/2023
25984	21/02/2023
25985	22/02/2023

- 1.2 The Breach Notices contain the following general wording:

“BREACH NOTICE

Jhoots Pharmacy, 171 Victoria Road Swindon SN1 3DF – FH416.

1. This is a Breach Notice issued by the NHS Commissioning Board (“NHS England”) under regulation 71 of the National Health Service (Pharmaceutical and Local Pharmaceutical Services) Regulations 2013. Issue of this Notice has been approved by the NHS England South West Pharmaceutical Services Regulations Committee (“the Committee”).

Nature of the Breach

2. Contrary to paragraph 23(1) and 23(7) of the Terms of Service for NHS Pharmacists, the Contractor did not and will not provide pharmaceutical services for some of its contracted hours on 12 January 2023.

Statement of Reasons

3. On [day] the Contractor has core opening hours from 09:00-13:00 & 14:00-18:00 with supplementary opening hours from 13:00-14:00.
4. On the [date], the Contractor notified NHS England that pharmaceutical services had not been provided between 11:00 - 16:00 (5 hours) on [date] because:

Locum could not be found - *Unable to source a Pharmacist/Locum Pharmacist*

5. No further information was received from the Contractor in response to NHS England’s request of [date].
6. The Committee noted that NHS pharmaceutical services were not able to be provided during the period concerned and so was considered to be ‘closed’ for the purpose of the Terms of Service.
7. The Committee considered whether or not:
 - the closure was for reasons ‘beyond the control’ of the Contractor (paragraph 23(10) of the terms of service) and for ‘good cause’ (regulation 69(3)(b)(i), and
 - the Contractor had used ‘all reasonable endeavours to resume provision of pharmaceutical services as soon as is practicable’ (paragraph 23(10)(b) of the terms of service) and in doing so had regard to the *Local policy on monitoring of, and using contractual sanctions in relation to, unplanned closures of community pharmacies* (“the policy”) which it had adopted on 1 November 2022, and which had been circulated to contractors on 21 October 2022.
8. With reference to the policy, the Committee noted that the closure triggered the general rule that closures of over 4 hours would generally result in a breach notice unless there were mitigating factors beyond the control of the contractor.
9. NHS England considers that 4 hours is a reasonable period to allow the contractor to arrange a replacement pharmacist to be present at pharmacy. Failure to arrange

for a replacement pharmacist within this period is therefore a matter 'within the control of the contractor'.

10. The Committee noted that the closure had been properly reported to NHS England. However, no response had been received to describe the precise circumstances and to confirm what steps were taken to obtain alternative cover and why that was not possible. The Committee was of the view that staffing was within the control of the Contractor and was not convinced there were any extenuating circumstances.
11. Accordingly, the Committee considered that there was not 'good cause' for the Contractor being unable to provide pharmaceutical services for the 5 hours on [date] and so there had been a breach of paragraphs 23(1) and (7) of the Terms of Service for NHS Pharmacists.
12. The Committee went on to consider whether it was reasonable and proportionate to issue a breach notice in this case.
13. The Committee considered that the loss of 5 hours service provision did justify the issue of a breach notice.

Action required

14. The Contractor is required not to repeat the breach."

- 1.3 With regard to payment withholding, the first breach notice regarding Thursday 12 January 2023 (ref. SHA/25956) states:

"Payment withholding

This was the first closure since 1 November 2022, when the revised South West Unplanned Closure Policy came into effect. The Committee determined a breach notice should be issued but, as this was the first closure since 1 November 2022, there should NOT be a withholding on this occasion."

- 1.4 Payment withholding was applied in the remaining case refs. SHA/25957 – 25985 and the general wording of the breach notices states:

"Payment withholding

The Committee takes the view that, because this breach relates to a repeated failure to be open and therefore a failure to be available to provide services, a payment withholding is appropriate. As stated above, it is satisfied that there was not 'good cause' for the breach.

The Committee determined that a breach notice should be issued and, as this was a [number of closures] since 1 November 2022 when the South West policy was introduced, that there should be a withholding of the lowest rate times number of hours closed. The amount to be withheld has been calculated as follows:

- To reflect costs not incurred because a pharmacist was not employed and the non-availability of pharmaceutical services: £ [amount] per hour (£[amount] x 5.00) = £[amount]
- This is based on the Committee's view that the amount that may be withheld in the event of an unplanned closure needs to be sufficient to provide an incentive to the contractor to take all necessary steps to prevent, or minimise the length of, the closure. In this context it should be remembered that the comparison is against the costs that the contractor may need to incur in resuming service provision (e.g., paying for a last-minute locum and their travel), which may be higher than normal running costs.

The total amount to be withheld is therefore £[amount].”

- 1.5 The following table details the hours and amount of withholding relating to each Breach Notice:

1.5.1

Case Ref	Date of Breach	Hours closed	Amount of withholding
25956	12/01/2023	11:00am to 4:00pm	None
25957	13/01/2023	11:00am to 4:00pm	£250
25958	16/01/2023	11:00am to 4:00pm	£500
25959	17/01/2023	11:00am to 4:00pm	£750
25960	18/01/2023	11:00am to 4:00pm	£1000
25961	19/01/2023	11:00am to 4:00pm	£1000
25962	20/01/2023	11:00am to 4:00pm	£1000
25963	23/01/2023	11:00am to 4:00pm	£1000
25964	24/01/2023	11:00am to 4:00pm	£1000
25965	25/01/2023	11:00am to 4:00pm	£1000
25966	26/01/2023	11:00am to 4:00pm	£1000
25967	27/01/2023	11:00am to 4:00pm	£1000
25968	30/01/2023	11:00am to 4:00pm	£1000
25969	31/01/2023	11:00am to 4:00pm	£1000
25970	01/02/2023	11:00am to 4:00pm	£1000
25971	02/02/2023	11:00am to 4:00pm	£1800
25972	03/02/2023	11:00am to 4:00pm	£1000
25973	06/02/2023	11:00am to 4:00pm	£1000
25974	07/02/2023	11:00am to 4:00pm	£1000
25975	08/02/2023	11:00am to 4:00pm	£1000
25976	09/02/2023	11:00am to 4:00pm	£1000
25977	10/02/2023	11:00am to 4:00pm	£1000
25978	13/02/2023	11:00am to 4:00pm	£1000
25979	14/02/2023	11:00am to 4:00pm	£1000
25980	15/02/2023	11:00am to 4:00pm	£1000
25981	16/02/2023	11:00am to 4:00pm	£1000
25982	17/02/2023	11:00am to 4:00pm	£1000
25983	20/02/2023	11:00am to 4:00pm	£1000
25984	21/02/2023	11:00am to 4:00pm	£1000
25985	22/02/2023	11:00am to 4:00pm	£1000

2 The Appeals

In a letter dated 30 April 2023 and addressed to NHS Resolution, Jhoots Pharmacy (“the Appellant”) appealed against NHS England’s decisions. The grounds of the Appeal are:

- 2.1 “I am writing to appeal against the following breach notices (see attachments)

- 2.1.1 20230405 - Breach Notice - Jhoots Pharmacy Montpelier - FQ150
- 2.1.2 20230405 - Breach Notice - Jhoots Pharmacy Victoria Road - FH416
- 2.1.3 20230405 - Breach Notice - Jhoots Pharmacy Kingswood - FG399
- 2.1.4 20230403 - Breach Notice Jhoots - FMM80
- 2.1.5 20230403 - Breach Notice - Jhoots Pharmacy - FAP96
- 2.2 The reason for objection is that we as a company could not find a pharmacist and was;
 - 2.2.1 Beyond the control of Jhoots
 - 2.2.2 All reasonable endeavours to resume provision of pharmaceutical services as soon as is practicable was tried and was not achievable.
- 2.3 We at Jhoots as a team did the following.
 - 2.3.1 We spend every day approximately 6-8 hours a day trying to recruit pharmacists or even locums, and it is very difficult to do.
 - 2.3.2 Sent out emails to several thousand pharmacists on Jhoots locum database.
 - 2.3.3 We tried to recruit by locum agencies, this is a national issue.
 - 2.3.4 Every time we recruit a pharmacist they end up not taking on the job as they get poached or become locums.
 - 2.3.5 We called several pharmacists.
 - 2.3.6 We tried to share pharmacists from other stores but had to keep them in the other store due to the fact that they were in the surgery that required there demand there more, thus would have caused more patient harm.
 - 2.3.7 Due to locum cancelling at the other store we had to move the pharmacist from this store to a surgery pharmacy or a store where it could cause more patient harm.
 - 2.3.8 We have had locums cancelling last minute.
 - 2.3.9 Pharmacist managers are leaving to go into other fields and have been trying to recruit but are unable due to.
 - 2.3.9.1 NHS hospitals
 - 2.3.9.2 111 urgent care
 - 2.3.9.3 NHS 111
 - 2.3.9.4 GP surgeries
 - 2.3.9.5 Regulator committees i.e., ICB, LPC, CCG, PCN etc.
 - 2.3.9.6 They are being recruited by the government NHS mails
 - 2.3.10 Funding has been reduced and yet wages have gone up in the pharmacy field so we are struggling to offer same wages as NHS.

- 2.3.11 NHS have been lenient in some areas of the country as they are aware of the pharmacist shortage, however southwest have been particularly difficult to deal with. It is one of the worst areas being hit by pharmacist shortage however they seem to be dead set of increasing pressure on pharmacy contractors in recruiting pharmacists and penalise us if we struggle. We are already losing revenue due to not having a pharmacist and being slapped with penalty from NHS we will have no choice to start closing the branches or worst-case scenario close the company. How is that helping us or the NHS?
- 2.3.12 NHS have been recruiting more and more pharmacists in the following fields recently:
- 2.3.12.1 NHS hospitals
 - 2.3.12.2 111 urgent care.
 - 2.3.12.3 NHS 111
 - 2.3.12.4 GP surgeries
 - 2.3.12.5 Regulator committees i.e., ICB, LPC, CCG, PCN etc.
- 2.3.13 The number of pharmacists seems to be the same however there are more job advertisements in the field due to point [2.3.10] above.
- 2.3.14 Department of health has even offered Walk in interviews to recruit more pharmacists thus this tells us there is a huge shortage.
- 2.3.15 We have been having regular meetings with the regional NHS on a regular basis we advised them of the following points above and below and have not responded and taken on board (see attachment)
- 2.3.15.1 They advised us that the LPC said it was no difficulties but when I called them they said they still have difficult but is easier to recruit than before but no easy. [sic]
 - 2.3.15.2 The opening hours are incorrect to what the NHS has breached us for we were closed where the Committee noted that the closure triggered the general rule that closures of over 4 hours would generally result in a breach notice unless there were mitigating factors beyond the control of the contractor. This is also incorrect and advised the Regional NHS and they have not responded.
- 2.3.16 See link ['Nightmare': Locum agency hit with 89 cancellations after Eid rates 'not attractive enough' | Chemist+Druggist :: C+D \(chemistanddruggist.co.uk\)](#), as to what is happening and this is just what was picked up, not all have been reported and this happens on a regular basis and even daily.
- 2.3.17 NHS has got wrong opening hours to what is stated, see attached email trends. They have 9 hours a day, when they should be 8 for total opening hours.
- 2.3.18 The process is to cancel then they get their friends to call and ask for double the money and all providers are seeing this. Now one is reporting this and even who is there is nothing being done about it.
- 2.3.19 Locums just need to know about any festival and then they increase rates and they have no care for patient safety.

- 2.3.20 Pharmacy as an industry is struggling, there are many pharmacy closures daily and more to continue happening nationally. If we all start getting fined then more pharmacies will close and the service gaps will increase nationally.
- 2.3.21 Pharmacies have supported NHS and they are penalising us thinking that we are not recruiting pharmacists.
- 2.3.22 We as a company have always opted to help on rotas and even down the south west and supported when other pharmacies have rejected and we always opt to help out, even last minute and even then the south west have complimented us to help (see attachment).
- 2.3.23 See PSNC link [PSNC-Briefing-007.23-Regulatory-easements-to-seek-to-reduce-costs-and-bureaucracy-and-ensure-patient-safety.pdf](https://www.cpe.org.uk/PSNC-Briefing-007.23-Regulatory-easements-to-seek-to-reduce-costs-and-bureaucracy-and-ensure-patient-safety.pdf) (cpe.org.uk) about closure and pharmacy staff and pharmacy shortage."

3 Summary of Representations

This is a summary of representations received on the Appeal. A summary of those representations made to NHS England are only included insofar as they are relevant and add to those received on the Appeal.

NHS ENGLAND

- 3.1 "Please can I ask you to treat the attached as part of NHS England South West's representations on this appeal:
 - 3.1.1 Folder 1 - Notifications of temporary suspension of services at the Jhoots Victoria Road Pharmacy FH416
 - 3.1.2 Folder 2 - NHS England's emails to the Contractor requesting further information about each store closure
 - 3.1.3 NHS England South West Community Pharmacy - Local Policy
 - 3.1.4 Community Pharmacy Resilience Guide
 - 3.1.5 Appendix 1 - Email clarifying pharmacy opening hours
- 3.2 The above-mentioned pharmacy has contractual opening hours between 09:00-18:00 Monday– Friday.
- 3.3 NHS England received notifications of a temporary suspension of services between 11:00-16:00 every week day i.e., Monday -Friday between 12-01-2023 and 22-02-2023 at the store (Folder 1).
- 3.4 Further to receipt of each closure notification, an email was sent to the Contractor (Folder 2) explaining that NHS England South West Pharmacy Services Regulations Committee (the SW Committee) was now considering whether the closure reported was beyond the reasonable control of the Contractor and, if not, whether to take formal action in respect of the closure. In the emails, the Contractor was given an opportunity to provide any additional information about the closure that may be helpful in the SW Committee's consideration.
- 3.5 NHS England received no response to the email sent to the Contractor.

- 3.6 The NHS (Pharmaceutical and Local Pharmaceutical Services) Regulations 2013 contain a clear contractual requirement for pharmacies to open for all of their core and supplementary opening hours. Schedule 4-part 3 paragraph 23 specifies that where there is a temporary suspension, for a reason beyond the control of the pharmacy, the pharmacy is not in breach provided they notify the commissioner as soon as practical and use all reasonable endeavours to re-open as soon as possible. The Regulations do not specify what reasons are out of the control of a contractor, which allows for consideration of individual circumstances.
- 3.7 Pharmacies in the South West have logged higher-than-average rates of temporary suspensions in comparison with other regions. Each temporary suspension has a significant impact on continuity of and access to community pharmacy services for patients. It also increases pressure on general practices and neighbour pharmacies, as patients contact them in an attempt to get their pharmaceutical service needs met. Similarly, urgent care services are impacted by patients returning to them in an attempt to access pharmaceutical services. To manage this issue, NHS England South West, in conjunction with ICBs, implemented a revised Community Pharmacy Unplanned Closure Policy on 1 November 2022.
- 3.8 Prior to implementation of the policy, all South West Community Pharmacy Contractors received copies of the policy alongside a Community Pharmacy Resilience Planning Guide (both attached). The policy and the resilience guide have also been repeatedly shared via the South West Community Pharmacy bulletin, which is sent out to all Contractors bi-weekly.
- 3.9 The policy aims to support Community Pharmacy to provide consistent and high-quality dispensing services (and other additional and enhanced service) to its patients and it sets out the SW Committee's approach to monitoring of and using contractual sanctions in relation to unplanned closures in Community Pharmacy.
- 3.10 In considering these temporary suspension of services, the SW Committee took into account the individual circumstances/ repeated closures and responses received from the contractor, in order to decide whether to:
- 3.10.1 Take no further action in relation to the pharmacy closure.
- 3.10.2 Issue a breach in relation to the pharmacy closure.
- 3.10.3 Issue a breach notice with payment withholding in relation to a pharmacy closure.
- 3.10.4 Further engage with a contractor to gain further understanding of ongoing issues.
- 3.11 Jhoots have appealed these breaches on the grounds that the closures were due to *'could not find a pharmacist'* and describe this as being *'beyond the control of Jhoots'*; they further state that *'all reasonable endeavours to resume provision of pharmaceutical services as soon as is practicable was tried and was not achievable'*.
- 3.12 In coming to the decision to issue these breaches, the SW Committee noted that there was a pattern of all-day store closures, Monday-Friday and that no further information had been received in response to any of NHS England's emails.
- 3.13 The closures are a repeated failure by the contractor to meet their contractual obligation to open the pharmacy.
- 3.14 The SW Committee considers staffing to be within the control of the contractor and 4 hours (as set out in the policy and resilience guidance documents) is sufficient time to source a replacement Pharmacist. The proper resourcing of stores in response to fulfilling the terms of service of their contract is a matter for Contractors.

- 3.15 Based on the information available in relation to these closures, the Committee decided there had been a breach of paragraphs 23(1) and (7) of the Terms of Service for NHS Pharmacist and to issue the breach notices.
- 3.16 There is a commitment across all South West Health partners and Local Pharmaceutical Committees to maximise the opportunities for Community Pharmacy to become an integrated partner in delivering services that meet the needs of local residents. To achieve this, it is important that all Community Pharmacy provides consistent and high-quality services to its patients. Where service provision is not consistent, there is a significant risk the local general practice teams will stop engaging with the Contractor concerned, reducing the range of services patients can access from that Community Pharmacy setting.
- 3.17 Ensuring access to community pharmacy services is also important across both the large rural geography of the South West and in addressing health inequalities. This Contractor is located in an area of high health inequality where local access and the relationship with their local pharmacy is particularly important in supporting patient needs.
- 3.18 Temporary pharmacy closures are particularly concerning, due to their potential negative impact on patient care. Pharmacy closures can severely impact patients and local communities in restricting their access to medication and other services, and displace activity unnecessarily to other parts of the NHS which are already under significant pressure, such as GP practices, urgent care, or A & E.
- 3.19 NHS England South West is of the view that securing sufficient access to pharmaceutical provision is vital to ensure patients can access appropriate support within a reasonable distance in order to reduce the demand on other NHS services.
- 3.20 **Regarding the Stores Opening hours**
- 3.21 Jhoots have raised the issue of the branches opening hours, stating that the pharmacy was '*not closed for more than 4.5 hours a day*' and therefore requesting a withdrawal of the breach notices.
- 3.22 Our records show the pharmacy's contractual opening hours from 09:00-18:00 Monday– Friday:
- 3.22.1 Core opening: 09:00 -13:00 & 14:00 -18:00
- 3.22.2 Supplementary opening: 13:00 -14:00
- 3.23 The above opening times were confirmed in our email correspondence between 6th January - 12th January 2023, during which a branch staff member confirmed querying this with the Jhoots Operations Manager and confirming that our records for Jhoots Pharmacy (FH416) opening times were correct (please see Appendix 1).
- 3.24 NHS England South West maintains the decision to issue this breach notices to Jhoots Pharmacy, FH416 was justified and necessary and requests that the appeal be rejected."

4 **Observations**

No observations were received by NHS Resolution in response to the representations received on the Appeal.

5 **Consideration**

- 5.1 Since 1 April 2023, Integrated Care Boards have taken on delegated responsibility for the commissioning of pharmaceutical services. NHS England made the decision which is the subject of this Appeal. NHS Resolution will issue this decision to NHS England and it is for NHS England to inform the relevant Integrated Care Board.
- 5.2 Under Regulation 71(1) "Breaches of terms of service: breach notices" of the NHS (Pharmaceutical and Local Pharmaceutical Services) Regulations 2013 ("the Regulations"), a breach notice may be issued:
- 71. (1) Where an NHS chemist (C) breaches a term of service and the breach is not capable of remedy, the NHSCB may by a notice ("a breach notice") require C not to repeat the breach.*
- 5.3 I note that in the Regulations an NHS Chemist means "an NHS appliance contractor or an NHS pharmacist". An NHS pharmacist is defined as "a person included in a pharmaceutical list of the type referred to in regulation 10(2)(a);". Regulation 10(2)(a) states:
- 10(2) "Those lists (which are pharmaceutical lists) are*
- (a) a list of persons who undertake to provide pharmaceutical services in particular by way of the provision of drugs;"*
- 5.4 The Regulations contain no definition as to what constitutes a breach of terms of service which is not capable of remedy.
- 5.5 I note that the Appellant is included on the pharmaceutical list and that there is no dispute between the parties with regard to this.
- 5.6 The Appellant's pharmacy was not open during its contractual hours on or at the following days and times:
- 5.6.1 12 January 2023 from 11:00am to 1:00pm and 2:00pm to 4:00pm;
 - 5.6.2 13 January 2023 from 11:00am to 1:00pm and 2:00pm to 4:00pm;
 - 5.6.3 16 January 2023 from 11:00am to 1:00pm and 2:00pm to 4:00pm;
 - 5.6.4 17 January 2023 from 11:00am to 1:00pm and 2:00pm to 4:00pm;
 - 5.6.5 18 January 2023 from 11:00am to 1:00pm and 2:00pm to 4:00pm;
 - 5.6.6 19 January 2023 from 11:00am to 1:00pm and 2:00pm to 4:00pm;
 - 5.6.7 20 January 2023 from 11:00am to 1:00pm and 2:00pm to 4:00pm;
 - 5.6.8 23 January 2023 from 11:00am to 1:00pm and 2:00pm to 4:00pm;
 - 5.6.9 24 January 2023 from 11:00am to 1:00pm and 2:00pm to 4:00pm;
 - 5.6.10 25 January 2023 from 11:00am to 1:00pm and 2:00pm to 4:00pm;
 - 5.6.11 26 January 2023 from 11:00am to 1:00pm and 2:00pm to 4:00pm;
 - 5.6.12 27 January 2023 from 11:00am to 1:00pm and 2:00pm to 4:00pm;
 - 5.6.13 30 January 2023 from 11:00am to 1:00pm and 2:00pm to 4:00pm;
 - 5.6.14 31 January 2023 from 11:00am to 1:00pm and 2:00pm to 4:00pm;

- 5.6.15 1 February 2023 from 11:00am to 1:00pm and 2:00pm to 4:00pm;
 - 5.6.16 2 February 2023 from 11:00am to 1:00pm and 2:00pm to 4:00pm;
 - 5.6.17 3 February 2023 from 11:00am to 1:00pm and 2:00pm to 4:00pm;
 - 5.6.18 6 February 2023 from 11:00am to 1:00pm and 2:00pm to 4:00pm;
 - 5.6.19 7 February 2023 from 11:00am to 1:00pm and 2:00pm to 4:00pm;
 - 5.6.20 8 February 2023 from 11:00am to 1:00pm and 2:00pm to 4:00pm;
 - 5.6.21 9 February 2023 from 11:00am to 1:00pm and 2:00pm to 4:00pm;
 - 5.6.22 10 February 2023 from 11:00am to 1:00pm and 2:00pm to 4:00pm;
 - 5.6.23 13 February 2023 from 11:00am to 1:00pm and 2:00pm to 4:00pm;
 - 5.6.24 14 February 2023 from 11:00am to 1:00pm and 2:00pm to 4:00pm;
 - 5.6.25 15 February 2023 from 11:00am to 1:00pm and 2:00pm to 4:00pm;
 - 5.6.26 16 February 2023 from 11:00am to 1:00pm and 2:00pm to 4:00pm;
 - 5.6.27 17 February 2023 from 11:00am to 1:00pm and 2:00pm to 4:00pm;
 - 5.6.28 20 February 2023 from 11:00am to 1:00pm and 2:00pm to 4:00pm;
 - 5.6.29 21 February 2023 from 11:00am to 1:00pm and 2:00pm to 4:00pm; and
 - 5.6.30 22 February 2023 from 11:00am to 1:00pm and 2:00pm to 4:00pm.
- 5.7 I note that the Appellant notified NHS England on each occasion by submitting a "Notification of unplanned temporary suspension of Services" ("the Notifications").
- 5.8 NHS England is of the view that as a result of the closures referred to in paragraph 5.6, the Appellant was in breach of paragraphs 23(1) and 23(7) of Schedule 4 of the Regulations because in each case *"the Contractor did not and will not provide pharmaceutical services for some of its contracted hours on [date]"* and the Breach Notices were issued in respect of these breaches.
- 5.9 I note that the Appellant states that its reason for objection is that it *"as a company could not find a pharmacist"*, it was *"Beyond the control of Jhoots"* and further, that *"All reasonable endeavours to resume provision of pharmaceutical services as soon as is practicable was tried and was not achievable."*
- 5.10 Under Regulation 69(1), before issuing a breach notice, NHS England must:
- "make every reasonable effort to communicate and co-operate with an NHS chemist (C) with a view to resolving any dispute between [the chemist] and the NHSCB relating to [the chemist's] compliance with [the chemist's] terms of service".*
- 5.11 The Regulations contain no definition of what constitutes *"reasonable effort to communicate and co-operate"*. I note that NHS England emailed the Appellant following each instance of closure to inform the Appellant that it was *"now considering whether the closure you have reported was beyond the reasonable control of the contractor and, if not, whether to take formal action in respect of the closure"*. The emails requested any additional information about the closures that could aid NHS

England in its consideration. I note that NHS England states that the Appellant did not respond to these emails in each instance and this has not been disputed. I note that in total there have been thirty instances of closure at the Appellant's premises.

5.12 I note that the Appellant has not referred to any lack of local dispute resolution in its Appeal. I therefore determine that there is no breach of Regulation 69 in this respect.

5.13 I shall now consider the breaches identified in the Breach Notices and the Appellant's grounds of Appeal.

5.14 Schedule 4, part 3, paragraph 23(7) states:

"Where P has notified to the NHSCB (or, before the appointed day, a Primary Care Trust) the days on which and times at which pharmaceutical services are to be provided at P's pharmacy premises (for example, in a return under sub-paragraph (5) or (6) or in an application for inclusion in a pharmaceutical list)—

(a) P must ensure that pharmaceutical services are provided at the premises to which the notification relates on the days and at the times set out in the notification (unless the notification has been superseded by a return, or a further return, under sub-paragraph (6)); and

(b) P must not change—

(i) the days on which or the times at which pharmaceutical services are to be provided at those premises during core opening hours which are neither additional opening hours nor in total less than 40 (if those core opening hours are additional opening hours, or are in total less than 40, regulation 65(5) to (7) and paragraphs 25 and 26 apply),

(ii) the total number of any supplementary opening hours (regulation 65(5) to (7) and paragraphs 25 and 26 apply to changes to the total number of core opening hours),

(iii) the days on which or the times at which pharmaceutical services are to be provided at those premises during supplementary opening hours, or

(iv) the pharmaceutical services which P is ordinarily to provide at those premises,

for a period of at least 3 months after that notification was received by the NHSCB (or, before the appointed day, a Primary Care Trust), unless the NHSCB agrees otherwise."

5.15 There is no dispute between the parties with regard to the days on which pharmaceutical services are to be provided at the Appellant's pharmacy. I note that whilst the Notifications all state that the times at which pharmaceutical services were not provided were 11am to 4pm on each day, on appeal the Appellant raises an issue regarding the opening hours and has provided a copy of a decision letter dated 20 December 2018 from NHS England South West (North) indicating that the Appellant would not be providing supplementary hours at the premises from 3 February 2019. I note that the Appellant emailed NHS England on 11 April 2023 to request a review of the Breach Notices issued for this premises in view of the change in supplementary hours in 2019.

5.16 I note that NHS England in its representations states that its records show the Appellant's contractual opening hours to be:

5.16.1 Core opening hours: 9:00am to 1pm and 2pm to 6pm, Monday to Friday; and

5.16.2 Supplementary opening hours: 1pm to 2pm, Monday to Friday

- 5.17 I note that NHS England has provided email correspondence between 6 and 12 January 2023 in which these opening hours were agreed by a branch staff member who confirmed that they had queried the hours with the Appellant's Operations Manager and confirmed that NHS England's records were correct. I note that neither party has provided a response to the evidence supplied. This leaves the situation with regard to the supplementary hours unclear.
- 5.18 Irrespective of this, there is no dispute between the parties that the Appellant's pharmacy did not open for 4 core hours in addition to 1 supplementary hour on each of the dates set out in the Breach Notices and at paragraph 5.6 above, and that this is confirmed by the Notifications submitted by the Appellant to NHS England.
- 5.19 I note that paragraph 23(7)(a) requires the Appellant to ensure that pharmaceutical services are provided on the days and at the times notified to NHS England. As the Appellant did not provide pharmaceutical services on the days and at the times set out in the Notifications, it did not ensure that pharmaceutical services were provided at the premises on the days and at the times notified to NHS England and so the Appellant has breached paragraph 23(7)(a).
- 5.20 The Appellant does not dispute that there have been thirty instances of temporary suspension of pharmaceutical services, as set out in the Notifications. The Regulations permit temporary suspension of services in certain circumstances without attracting a breach, e.g. where sufficient advance notice has been provided of an intended suspension of services (pursuant to paragraph 23(1)) or to cater for situations which are, for example, outside of the Appellant's control.
- 5.21 The latter is set out in paragraph 23(10) of Schedule 4 of the Regulations, which sets out certain conditions, satisfaction of which would mean the Appellant would not be in breach of paragraphs 23(7) and 23(1) of Schedule 4 of the Regulations. I return to this further below.
- 5.22 Before I consider whether the Appellant has satisfied the conditions set out in paragraph 23(10) in relation to breach of paragraph 23(7), I shall consider whether the Appellant's temporary suspension of services amount to a breach of paragraph 23(1), which also relates to temporary suspension of services and to which the conditions set out in paragraph 23(10) also apply.
- 5.23 Paragraph 23(1) requires the Appellant to provide pharmaceutical services during its core opening hours. NHS England states that the core opening hours of the pharmacy for Monday to Friday are 9am to 1pm and 2pm to 6pm. I note that this has not been disputed by the Appellant and therefore I accept those hours as correct.
- 5.24 As the Appellant did not provide pharmaceutical services during the hours set out in the Notifications, it did not ensure that pharmaceutical services were provided during its core opening hours and so the Appellant has breached paragraph 23(1).
- 5.25 As indicated at paragraph 5.21 above, the Appellant would not be in breach of paragraph 23(1) or 23(7) of the Regulations if it meets the conditions of paragraph 23(10). I therefore next consider these conditions and the representations before me.
- 5.26 Paragraph 23(10) states:

"Where there is a temporary suspension in the provision of pharmaceutical services by P for a reason beyond the control of P, P is not in breach of sub-paragraphs (1) and (7), provided that—

(a) P notifies the NHSCB of that suspension as soon as practical; and

(b) P uses all reasonable endeavours to resume provision of pharmaceutical services as soon as is practicable.”

- 5.27 I consider that paragraph 23(10) sets out four conditions or tests, which need to be met in order for the Appellant to not be in breach of paragraphs 23(1) and 23(7). These are:
- 5.27.1 establishing that there has been a temporary suspension of pharmaceutical services;
 - 5.27.2 the temporary suspension of pharmaceutical services arose for reasons beyond the control of the Appellant;
 - 5.27.3 the Appellant notified NHS England of the temporary suspension as soon as practicable; and
 - 5.27.4 the Appellant has used all reasonable endeavours to resume the provision of pharmaceutical services as soon as practicable.
- 5.28 I consider that all four conditions must be met in order for paragraph 23(10) to apply and therefore for the Appellant to be deemed not in breach of paragraph 23(1) or 23(7).
- 5.29 As discussed at paragraph 5.20 above, there is no dispute between the parties that the failure to open during core hours on the dates indicated at paragraph 5.6 amounts to temporary suspensions of pharmaceutical services and therefore I consider that the first condition set out in 5.27.1 is satisfied.
- 5.30 I next consider whether the temporary suspensions were for reasons beyond the Appellant's control.
- 5.31 I have had regard to the Notifications submitted by the Appellant for each unexpected closure. I note that the reason given for each of the closures on is *“Could not source pharmacist cover”*.
- 5.32 I note NHS England's comments that pharmacies in the South West have had a higher rate of temporary suspensions than other regions, and in order to manage the issue it had implemented a revised Community Pharmacy Unplanned Closure Policy (*“the Policy”*) to contractors on 1 November 2022. In conjunction with this, I note that a Community Pharmacy Resilience Planning Guide (*“the Guide”*) was issued. I have been provided with copies of both documents.
- 5.33 I have had regard to the Policy and note that it details the regulatory background and places this in the context of the situation in the South West area. It further details arrangements for the reporting and monitoring of unplanned closures, including further investigations that will be carried out. The Policy also provides instances in which an unplanned closure would not justify a breach notice; factors which may make the issue of a breach notice more likely, examples of aggravating and mitigating factors, and information regarding the calculation of payment withholdings. I note further that the Policy states *“As a general rule, closures lasting less than 4 hours will not normally result in the issue of a breach notice.”* I consider this to indicate that closures of 4 hours or over are likely to result in the issue of a breach notice, but that there may be exceptions.
- 5.34 I have also had regard to the Guide which provides information regarding actions to be taken by a pharmacy in the event of an unplanned closure, including notifications and dealing with urgent/acute prescriptions. The Guide also details steps pharmacies should have in place in order to maintain access to services with minimal disruption, including a Business Continuity Plan, an induction process for new staff and locum packs containing key information for locums.

- 5.35 I note NHS England's comments that it had noted that *"there was a pattern of all-day closures Monday-Friday and that no further information had been received in response to any of NHS England's emails."* Further that it *"considers staffing to be within the control of the contractor and 4 hours (as set out in the policy and resilience guidance documents) is sufficient time to source a replacement Pharmacist."*
- 5.36 I note that in the Appeal the Appellant has explained the difficulties it has faced in recruiting pharmacists and arranging locum cover. I note that it has experienced locums cancelling at the last minute and an assertion that pharmacists are leaving to go into other fields such as hospitals or GP surgeries. I also note the Appellant's comments regarding the general difficulties being experienced by the pharmacy profession, including a reduction in funding meaning that the Appellant is *"struggling to offer the same wages as NHS"*.
- 5.37 I am of the view that generally, staffing issues which result in temporary unplanned closures would not constitute a reason beyond the control of the Appellant as to why it is not able to provide pharmaceutical services during its core or supplementary hours unless I have sufficient evidence to persuade me otherwise. This is because staffing levels of the pharmacy are not beyond control of the Appellant as the arrangements and the number of the pharmacists employed either as relief pharmacists or as locums procured to cover core contracted as well as supplementary hours offered by the Appellant are a commercial consideration and it is a matter for the Appellant to ensure that there is sufficient cover. Therefore, there must be a substantial change which takes the Appellant's ability to staff its pharmacy outside of this control.
- 5.38 I note that the thirty closures occurred on consecutive weekdays over a period of 6 weeks and consider that this was an unreasonable period for the pharmacy to be closed each day over a prolonged period without cover being arranged. Given the generalised nature of the Appeal, it is unclear whether this was always due to late locum cancellations or an inability to source cover or a combination. There has been no explanation from the Appellant, why the closures occurred over a relatively long period. I will consider the general arguments put forward by the Appellant as they apply to this Appeal, however, the lack of contextualised information presents significant difficulties.
- 5.39 I note that the Appellant has stated in its Appeal that there are issues with locums that occur where there is a known event, such as a "festival". It has also provided evidence of multiple cancellations by locums on 21 April 2023. As I have referred to, all the closures in question took place during the period 12 January to 22 February 2023 and therefore none of which coincide with 21 April 2023. I also note that none of the reasons supplied by the Appellant for the breaches indicate that a known event was occurring on the dates in question. Therefore, I consider that this line of reasoning does not apply to the Appeal at hand.
- 5.40 Following this, I consider, more generally, the Appellant's inability to arrange locum cover. It appears that for every weekday between the 12 January and 22 February 2023 there has been a closure. It would therefore stand to reason that the Appellant knew it did not have enough staff to open potentially months in advance. The majority of the Appellant's arguments relate to recruitment, rather than the ability to acquire locums, aside from the argument relating to cancellation on festivals that I have already considered. Therefore, given the advanced notice of potential issues, I cannot see any specific explanation provided by the Appellant as to why it was unable to produce locum cover for the days in question.
- 5.41 Regarding the arguments raised on recruitment, I sympathise with the Appellant regarding the difficulties they are facing however, it is unclear from the statements provided the reasons behind its inability to successfully recruit a pharmacist to this role. As I have noted, the Appellant's arguments are general in nature, which makes it difficult to understand the way it is suggesting that this string of 30 closures was beyond its control. I am unable to comment on what would achieve a successful recruitment

strategy but I consider that these considerations are commercial in nature. I note specifically that the Appellant has mentioned its inability to compete with other fields in terms of wages, which I consider to be not something beyond the control of the pharmacy as it can in principle choose to offer higher rates of pay. Where it is unable to immediately recruit it is available to the Appellant to employ locums to fulfil the hours, and as I have stated, I have not been provided with any information to suggest that any attempt to secure locum cover for the dates following 12 January 2023 were unsuccessful.

- 5.42 It is the Appellant's responsibility to manage its business in a way which ensures the delivery of pharmaceutical services on specified days and at specified times and that there are no temporary unplanned closures. This requires a future focus on resource allocation and the implementation of robust contingencies, including limiting the need for locums to be found at short notice to cover the employed pharmacist if one should be unavailable for some reason; to not do so is a commercial decision under the control of the Appellant. I consider in order to argue that the lack of available staff was beyond its control the Appellant would need to demonstrate how its arguments relate to each of the closures and to clearly indicate the factors that render it outside of its control. I do not consider that the reasons put forward by the Appellant indicate this fact.
- 5.43 I also note NHS England's view that "*securing sufficient access to pharmaceutical provision is vital to ensure patients can access appropriate support within a reasonable distance in order to reduce the demand on other NHS services.*" I am mindful that NHS England has a duty to ensure that pharmaceutical services are made available to patients and that the Appellant's repeated unplanned closures are affecting access to such services. NHS England also has a duty to manage contractual issues as set out in the Regulations and has chosen to do so by issuing the Breach Notices in this case.
- 5.44 Given these reasons, I am of the view that there was insufficient evidence provided by the Appellant that the temporary suspensions of pharmaceutical services on the above dates were beyond the Appellant's control.
- 5.45 Regarding conditions three and four, I acknowledge that the Appellant reported the closure on each occasion and used reasonable endeavours to resume provision of services as soon as practicable. However, given that I have determined that the Appellant's reasons for the temporary closures on the thirty dates in question were not beyond its control, and therefore at least one of the conditions set out in paragraph 23(10) has not been met, I consider that the Appellant cannot rely on paragraph 23(10) of the Regulations to show that it is not in breach of paragraph 23(7) of Schedule 4 of the Regulations.
- 5.46 I am of the view that the Appellant is in breach of paragraph 23(7) of Schedule 4 of the Regulations.
- 5.47 I note that in the Notifications to NHS England the Appellant had provided the following information to show the actions it took to limit the impact on users of the premises: "*All local surgeries and pharmacies have been contacted to notify of the unplanned closure. a [sic] mechanism has been put in place for patients prescriptions to be returned if necessary. Local drug and alcohol service notified for any patient collections. Nearest local pharmacy contacted to be able to fulfil patient prescriptions.*"
- 5.48 I am mindful of the process followed by the Appellant in each instance to minimise the impact of the closure on customers, however I do not consider this on its own is enough to relieve the Appellant of the requirement to comply with paragraph 23(7) of the Regulations.

Withholding of payment

- 5.49 I note that NHS England determined that payment withholdings are to apply in respect of all but the first breach i.e. in case references SHA/25957 – 25985.

5.50 I note that paragraph 71(3) of the Regulation states:

(3) If the breach relates to a failure to provide, or a failure to provide to a reasonable standard, a service that C is required to provide, the breach notice may provide that, as regards the period during which there was a failure to provide, or a failure to provide to a reasonable standard, that service, the NHSCB is to withhold all or part of the remuneration due to C under the Drug Tariff or a determination as mentioned in regulation 91(6) in respect of that period.

5.51 I note that the section of the Policy titled “Payment withholdings” states:

5.51.1 “The Committee considers that – while being fair and proportionate – the amount that may be withheld in the event of an unplanned closure needs to be sufficient to provide an incentive to the contractor to take all necessary steps to prevent, or minimise the length of, the closure. In this context it should be remembered that the comparison is against the costs that the contractor may need to incur in resuming service provision (e.g. paying for a last-minute locum and their travel), which may be higher than normal running costs.

5.51.1.1 First breach £50 per hour

5.51.1.2 Second breach within 6 months £100 per hour

5.51.1.3 Third breach within 6 months £150 per hour

5.51.1.4 Fourth breach within 6 months £200 per hour

5.51.2 Application of this ratchet should ensure pharmacies remain focused on provision of service to patients during contracted hours and staffing accordingly.

5.51.3 Above 4 breaches within a 6-month period will be dealt with by additional financial penalties and other contractual levers available.

5.51.4 The count of breaches will be considered on a rolling 6-month period i.e. 1st August to 31st January, or 15th August to 14th February.”

5.52 I note that NHS England determined that as the Appellant’s first breach on 12 January 2023 was its first since the Policy came into effect on 1 November 2022, it should not apply a payment withholding. Payment withholdings were then applied to all subsequent breaches. The first withholding was calculated at a rate of £50 per hour, the second at £100 per hour, the third at £150 per hour and the fourth and subsequent withholdings at £200 per hour in accordance with the Policy. A calculation of the amount to be withheld was provided in each of the Breach Notices as follows:

Case Ref	Date of Breach	No. of hours closed	Rate per hour	Amount of withholding = [No. of hours x Rate per hour]
25957	13/01/2023	5	£50	£250
25958	16/01/2023	5	£100	£500
25959	17/01/2023	5	£150	£750
25960	18/01/2023	5	£200	£1000
25961	19/01/2023	5	£200	£1000
25962	20/01/2023	5	£200	£1000
25963	23/01/2023	5	£200	£1000

25964	24/01/2023	5	£200	£1000
25965	25/01/2023	5	£200	£1000
25966	26/01/2023	5	£200	£1000
25967	27/01/2023	5	£200	£1000
25968	30/01/2023	5	£200	£1000
25969	31/01/2023	5	£200	£1000
25970	01/02/2023	5	£200	£1000
25971	02/02/2023	9	£200	£1800
25972	03/02/2023	5	£200	£1000
25973	06/02/2023	5	£200	£1000
25974	07/02/2023	5	£200	£1000
25975	08/02/2023	5	£200	£1000
25976	09/02/2023	5	£200	£1000
25977	10/02/2023	5	£200	£1000
25978	13/02/2023	5	£200	£1000
25979	14/02/2023	5	£200	£1000
25980	15/02/2023	5	£200	£1000
25981	16/02/2023	5	£200	£1000
25982	17/02/2023	5	£200	£1000
25983	20/02/2023	5	£200	£1000
25984	21/02/2023	5	£200	£1000
25985	22/02/2023	5	£200	£1000

- 5.53 I note that an error appears to have been made in the Breach Notice issued for 2 February 2023 (case ref. 25971). The calculation of withholding is stated as “£200 per hour (£200 x 9.00) = £1800” whereas the pharmacy was closed for 5 hours as noted earlier in the Breach Notice.
- 5.54 I note the Appellant’s comments that:
- 5.54.1 *“NHS have been lenient in some areas of the country as they are aware of the pharmacist shortage, however southwest have been particularly difficult to deal with. It is one of the worst areas being hit by pharmacist shortage however they seem to be dead set of [sic] increasing pressure on pharmacy contractors in recruiting pharmacists and penalise us if we struggle. We are already losing revenue due to not having a pharmacist and being slapped with penalty from NHS we will have no choice to start closing the branches or worst-case scenario close the company. How is that helping us or the NHS?”*
- 5.55 I note that the Appellant has not disputed the methodology set out in the Policy, or that the rates charged were not calculated in a fair and proportionate way, rather that it is unfair to penalise it given the situation it has described.
- 5.56 I am mindful of the conclusions I have reached at paragraph 5.38 above regarding the repeated nature of the closures over a relatively long period and therefore consider that the withholding of payment is a reasonable action.
- 5.57 Given the lack of dispute regarding the methodology used in calculating the amount of payment withholding, I confirm NHS England’s decision to withhold the amounts as

detailed at paragraph 5.52 in respect of case references 25957 - 25970 and 25972 - 25985.

- 5.58 In respect of case reference 25971, I substitute NHS England's decision with a decision to withhold £1000 (i.e. 5 hours x £200) as outlined in the Policy.

6 Decision

- 6.1 I am of the view that under NHS Resolution's powers, as set out in paragraph 9(5) of Schedule 3 to the Regulations, I may either confirm the decision of NHS England or substitute for that decision any decision that NHS England could have taken when it took that decision.

Case references 25956 - 25970 and 25972 - 25985

- 6.2 Pursuant to paragraph 9(5)(a) of Schedule 3 to the Regulations I confirm NHS England's decisions in respect of case refs 25956 - 25970 and 25972 - 25985 to issue the Breach Notices identified at paragraph 1.1. and to withhold the amounts detailed at paragraph 5.52.

Case reference 25971

- 6.3 In respect of case ref. 25971, pursuant to paragraph 9(5)(a) of Schedule 3 to the Regulations I substitute NHS England's decision with a decision to issue the Breach Notice and to withhold £1000.

**Head of Appeals
NHS Resolution**