



Resolution

8th Floor,
10 South Colonnade
Canary Wharf
London
E14 4PU
Telephone: 020 7811 2700

June 2024
FOI_6531

The following information was requested on 9th May 2024:

Please could you provide the following under the Freedom of Information Act:

- Any data held by NHSR on the number of cases where NHSR or their representatives **alleged** that the claimant has been 'fundamentally dishonest'.

If possible, please provide data for the five most recent years for which you have data.

Our Response

We have the following recorded for raising allegations of fundamental dishonesty:

Financial Year FD allegation raised	No of claims
2020/21	13
2021/22	7
2022/23	# - see low numbers refusal notice below
2023/24	14

Unfortunately, we have only been recording these cases separately for the past 4 years. Therefore, we estimate that the cost of complying with the request in its entirety would exceed the 'appropriate limit'. Section 12(1) of the FOIA is a provision which allows a public authority to refuse to comply with a request for information where the cost of compliance is estimated to exceed a set limit (known as the 'appropriate limit'). The 'appropriate limit' for NHS Resolution is £450. This equates to 18 hours of work at the rate of £25 per hour set out in the 'Fees Regulations'.

We are unable to provide this information without interrogating individual claims files. Although NHS Resolution may hold some information relating to claims such as what you have requested (England only claims), due to the way claims are recorded on our claims database, we will not be able to identify such specific cases. It might be helpful to explain that when claims are notified to NHS Resolution they are categorised against pre-defined cause, injury and speciality [codes](#). Unfortunately, historically we

did not have a code that would allow us to extract claims relating to allegations of fundamental dishonesty. Therefore, while there may be information held (for prior to 2020/21) in our records, we are not readily able to identify the relevant files by searching the database. To do so would involve a manual review of all cases (for prior to 2020/21) to identify the claims relating to allegations of fundamental dishonesty.

Low Numbers

Please note we have suppressed low figures as we believe that disclosure of information with this level of granularity is exempt under Section 40(2) by virtue of section 40(3A) (a) of the Freedom of Information Act, where disclosure to a member of the public would contravene one or more of the data protection principles. The data protection principles are set out in Article 5 of the General Data Protection Regulation.

In some instances, the low numbers of claims (fewer than 5) in each category, the likelihood exists that individuals who are the subject of this information may be identified either from this information alone, or in combination with other available information. In addition to this, as this information is considered to be sensitive personal data (the data subjects' medical condition); NHS Resolution believes it has a greater responsibility to protect those individuals' identities, as disclosure could potentially cause damage and/or distress to those involved.

Further to our obligations to provide advice and assistance, you may find it helpful to review the work of the [Getting It Right First Time team](#) whom NHS Resolution has been working with to undertake in-depth analysis of our claims data. They have produced a number of [reports](#) from analysing our claims data which has been shared following approval of the confidentiality advisory group to the use of confidential patient information for this purpose.

If you would like to know how data is categorised in our Claims database please see the following link: [Glossary](#)

This concludes our response to your request.

If you are not satisfied with the service that you have received in response to your information request, it is open to you to make a complaint and request a formal review of our decisions. If you choose to do this, you should write to [Tinku Mitra](#), Deputy Director of Corporate and Information Governance, Data Protection Officer for NHS Resolution, within 28 days of your receipt of this reply. Reviews of decisions made in relation to information requests are carried out by a person who was not involved in the original decision-making about the request.

If you are not content with the outcome of your complaint, you may apply directly to the Information Commissioner for a review of the decision. Generally, the Information Commissioner will not make a decision unless you have exhausted the local complaints procedure. The address of the Information Commissioner's Office is:

Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
Cheshire
SK9 5AF

<https://ico.org.uk/>