



Resolution

8th Floor,
10 South Colonnade
Canary Wharf
London
E14 4PU
Telephone: 020 7811 2700

March 2025
FOI_7062

The following information was requested on 20 February 2025:

As part of our ongoing advocacy work, we have been reviewing the NHS Resolution Claims Management Database and note that:

- 1. 'Hydrocephalus' does not appear to be recorded as an 'injury type' code; and*
- 2. 'Failure to measure head circumference' or 'failure to act upon irregular head circumference' does not appear to be recorded as a 'cause of injury' code.*

In light of this, I would be grateful if you could confirm whether:

- 'Hydrocephalus' is included as an 'injury type' within your coding system; and*
- 'Failure to measure head circumference' or 'failure to act upon irregular head circumference' is included as a 'cause' code.*

Additionally, I kindly request the following information under the Freedom of Information Act 2000:

- 1. The number of claims opened between 2005 and 2024 where the injury type included hydrocephalus.*
- 2. The number of claims closed (with payment of damages) between 2005 and 2024 where the injury type included hydrocephalus.*
- 3. A breakdown of damages paid, claimant legal costs, and NHS Resolution legal costs for all claims closed (with payment of damages) between 2005 and 2024 where the injury type included hydrocephalus.*

Our Response to your specific request

Although NHS Resolution may hold some information relating to claims such as these, due to the way claims are recorded on our claims database, we will not be able to identify such specific cases. It might be helpful to explain that when claims are notified to NHS Resolution they are categorised against pre-defined cause, injury and speciality [codes](#), unfortunately *Hydrocephalus* or *Failure to measure head circumference*, or *failure to act upon irregular head circumference* is not one of these. Therefore, while there may be information held in our records, we are not readily able to identify the relevant files by searching the database. To do so would involve a manual review of all cases to identify which ones relate to claims involving *Hydrocephalus* or *Failure to measure head circumference*, or *failure to act upon irregular head circumference*. NHS Resolution receives thousands of claims each year.

Therefore, we estimate that the cost of complying with the request in its entirety would exceed the 'appropriate limit.' Section 12(1) of the FOIA is a provision which allows a public authority to refuse to comply with a request for information where the cost of compliance is estimated to exceed a set limit (known as the 'appropriate limit'). The 'appropriate limit' for NHS Resolution is £450. This equates to 18 hours of work at the rate of £25 per hour set out in the 'Fees Regulations'.

We estimate that it would take on average 10 minutes to locate, retrieve and extract the requested information from an individual file. It may therefore be the case that we would be able to examine only 108 files within 18 hours.

In addition, given the complexity of clinical negligence claims and their litigation, it is possible for a single electronic or paper-based file to contain hundreds of documents in a variety of formats.

Please also note even if we were able to carry out a review of 108 random files, we may not be able to provide you with the level of detail you require owing to Data Protection grounds.

We would need to suppress low numbers or any information that could possibly lead to the identification of claimants, patients, or individuals where disclosure would breach the General Data Protection Regulation.

For the details of the claims data that we publish please refer to the following link: [Annual statistics - NHS Resolution](#)

Further to our obligations to provide advice and assistance, you may find it helpful to review the work of the [Getting It Right First Time team](#) with whom NHS Resolution has been working with to undertake in-depth analysis of our claims data. They have produced a number of [reports](#) from analysing our claims data, which has been shared following approval of the confidentiality advisory group to the use of confidential patient information for this purpose.

If you would like to know how data is categorised in our Claims database please see the following link: [Glossary](#)

This concludes our response to your request.

If you are not satisfied with the service that you have received in response to your information request, it is open to you to make a complaint and request a formal review of our decisions. If you choose to do this, you should write to [Joanne Appleby](#), Deputy Data Protection Officer for NHS Resolution, within 28 days of your receipt of this reply. Reviews of decisions made in relation to information requests are carried out by a person who was not involved in the original decision-making about the request.

If you are not content with the outcome of your complaint, you may apply directly to the Information Commissioner for a review of the decision. Generally, the Information Commissioner will not make a decision unless you have exhausted the local complaints procedure. The address of the Information Commissioner's Office is:

Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
Cheshire
SK9 5AF

<https://ico.org.uk/>