

Professional Support and Remediation (PSR) service

A guide to using our action plans

Contents

1. Introduction	3
2. Actions for the healthcare organisation	3
3. Actions for the practitioner	4
4. Structure of the action plan	4
5. Additional considerations when using a PSR action plan.....	8
6. Additional information.....	8
Appendix A.....	9
Description of terms used in our action plans.....	9
1. Introduction	10
2. Description of roles and responsibilities	10
3. Description of activities	13
4. Professional Activities	15
5. Workplace based assessments (WPBA).....	15
6. Coaching and mentoring	18

1. Introduction

The purpose of our actions plans is to support healthcare organisations managing practitioners requiring remediation or reskilling. We always issue action plans in **draft** format, which we expect the employing/contracting (healthcare organisation) to review, amend if needed, and share with the practitioner. On receipt of the draft action plan there are a number of actions that the healthcare organisation and the practitioner must take before the plan can be finalised and implemented. This document sets out the actions to be taken.

2. Actions for the healthcare organisation

On receipt of the action plan, the healthcare organisation is expected to:

- 2.1** Review the whole plan to make sure that it is appropriate to the circumstances of the case, and to ensure that patient and public safety are adequately protected.
- 2.2** Consider any adjustments/amendments that are required, to be shared with the practitioner. There is no requirement for the healthcare organisation to contact Practitioner Performance Advice prior to amending the action plan, though we remain available to provide advice if required.
- 2.3** Review and amend, as required, the agreement in section 7.0 of the document. The agreement should be clear about roles and responsibilities, as well as the consequences of successfully completing the action plan and what will happen should the plan not be completed or if there is a lack of engagement with the plan.

NOTE: The agreement text within the draft plan produced by Practitioner Performance Advice is a suggested template only and may not be suitable for the case circumstances relevant to your request.

- 2.4** Any decisions about the management of the case, both during the course of the action plan and on its completion, rest with the healthcare organisation, although Practitioner Performance Advice is available to provide ongoing impartial advice if required.
- 2.5** Engage fully with the practitioner to agree the action plan, taking account of any amendments that both parties have suggested. Once the amendments have been agreed, the word 'draft' should be removed from the document, including the watermark, and the final version of the plan should be signed by both parties as part of the agreement.

NOTE: When drafting, amending and implementing the action plan, patient safety and public protection must be of paramount concern for all those involved.

- 2.6** The signed action plan is an agreement with the practitioner, not with Practitioner Performance Advice. While we prepare an action plan for the

healthcare organisation and practitioner to consider, it is for the healthcare organisation and practitioner to engage directly to discuss it and to satisfy themselves that the action plan meets their service needs and the development needs of the practitioner.

- 2.7** We are a learning organisation and, as such, we value any feedback you might have regarding our action plans. It is helpful to us if the agreed final version of the action plan can be shared with Practitioner Performance Advice to support our service development activity. You can send a copy to nhsr.psr@nhs.net where we will manage the information in line with our usual [privacy policy](#).

3. Actions for the practitioner

On receipt of the action plan, the practitioner is expected to:

- 3.1** Review the whole plan to be sure that it is appropriate to the circumstances of the case and to satisfy themselves that the action plan meets their development needs.
- 3.2** Share the action plan with their defence organisation or other representative, where relevant.
- 3.3** Engage fully with the healthcare organisation to consider the action plan, including cooperating in discussions about amendments that have been suggested. Once any amendments have been agreed, sign up to the plan.
- 3.4** Recognise that the signed action plan is an agreement with the healthcare organisation, not with Practitioner Performance Advice.
- 3.5** Engage with the plan as it is implemented.

4. Structure of the action plan

The action plan is divided into sections each with its own heading, designed to allow the user to navigate the contents easily when it is viewed online. The paragraph numbering in this section matches the section numbers (1.0 to 7.0) in the action plan template itself for cross referencing purposes. The sections are described below.

1.0 About this plan

Includes the allocated case number, the stakeholders involved with the plan, who has requested it and the expectations of each party in taking the plan forward. This section also details the type of action plan (i.e. remediation, reskilling, return to work) and the date that Practitioner Performance Advice received the request to draft a plan.

2.0 Aim

Details the overall aim of this action plan i.e. to return the practitioner to safe,

effective and valued practice into their agreed role on completion.

3.0 Timescales

Details the start date for implementing the plan and the expected completion date. This should be kept under review, particularly during formal progress reviews. Any adjustments should be agreed between the practitioner and healthcare organisation.

4.0 Actions

This section describes actions to be taken by the healthcare organisation and the practitioner to facilitate the effective completion of the action plan.

5.0 Abbreviations used in this action plan

Within this guide (Appendix A), there is a *Description of terms used in our action plans*, including abbreviations. The guide does not include all the terms and abbreviations used across the range of clinical specialties. A table containing an explanation of the abbreviations used in the action plan appears in this section.

6.0 Overarching interventions to support this action plan

Although our action plans include separate objectives, we recognise that a single intervention or WPBA might provide information relevant to all or multiple objectives. There will also be other activities that the practitioner completes, such as induction or audit, which might be relevant to the practitioner's overall development or return to practice, rather than one specific objective. To save repetition, such activities are referred to in this section.

6.1 Induction

Describes activities designed to support a practitioner through their introduction to a new role and/or organisation, or a reintroduction to a known workplace following an extended period of absence.

Note: If there is no induction requirement you will need to delete this section in the template and the headings below will need to be renumbered.

6.2 Objectives

The practitioner should work through each objective with the available support to complete the activities within each objective. The objectives focus on the areas for development identified by the healthcare organisation in their request for an action plan. Each objective in the plan is designed to include a range of activities and experiences, including WPBAs, to allow the practitioner to gather sufficient information to demonstrate their progress through the plan.

Each objective should be clear, measurable and time specific, and include activities appropriate to support the development of the practitioner or reintegration following absence. A key element of this is structured feedback on

progress, including that provided by a clinical or educational supervisor, a coach or mentor. A summary table of WPBA's and other interventions is included in section 6.3 of the action plan.

6.2.1 *Reviewing progress through the plan*

Action plans include regular formal review points throughout the programme. These are essential to ensure that expected progress is being made at each stage and that the practitioner is receiving the level of support required.

At each review point the clinical supervisor is responsible for reviewing all the available information and providing a written report to the programme manager. A template for this purpose is supplied with the action plan, although the clinical supervisor and programme manager may agree to use a different template if required. The progress report should describe the progress made, areas for particular focus and development, and a clear indication whether the objectives within the plan are being met. The report should be used by the programme manager or decision making body (such as PLDP or PAG) to inform their decision making in relation to the action plan.

Following each review, the clinical supervisor should determine the level of improvements made and identify areas for further support, or particular focus and to agree with the programme manager the appropriate level of supervision for the next stage of this programme. Any changes in the level of supervision should be confirmed with the practitioner.

6.2.2 *Supervision*

Practitioners participating in a remediation or return to work/reskilling plan require different levels of supervision based on their individual circumstances. Our action plans generally operate on the principle that the level of supervision can be reduced as the practitioner demonstrates progress, with due regard to patient safety and public protection and any regulatory requirements or restrictions imposed on their practice.

6.2.3 *Duration*

The length of the plan depends on the circumstances of the case and the needs of the practitioner. We consider the appropriate duration of each plan on a case by case basis, while acknowledging that this may change over the course of the programme depending on progress made.

In some circumstances, amendments to the programme's duration or content may be appropriate, e.g. if the support for the practitioner originally agreed by the healthcare organisation cannot not be provided for any reason.

As the action plan represents an agreement between the practitioner and the healthcare organisation, any amendments to the duration of the programme need to be agreed by both parties, either to extend it where progress is insufficient or to shorten it where progress is better than expected.

The potential consequences for insufficient progress through the action plan should be clearly explained to the practitioner prior to commencement of the action plan and be reflected in the agreement signed by the practitioner and the healthcare organisation. This ensures that there is a clear understanding of the actions to be considered if the practitioner does not make the progress expected.

6.2.4 Patient and staff safety

Patient and staff safety and public protection must be carefully considered when reviewing progress and deciding whether the practitioner can move to the next stage of the plan. This may mean enhancing supervision levels, slowing progress through the plan or taking other actions to protect safety. It is for the healthcare organisation to assure itself that the practitioner has demonstrated satisfactory progress and that patient safety is suitably protected before moving to the next stage.

6.2.5 Completion

On successful completion of the programme, there should be sufficient clear and credible information to show that the practitioner is practising consistently at the level reasonably expected for their role. It is for the healthcare organisation to assure itself that the practitioner has satisfactorily completed all aspects of the action plan and that patient safety and public protection are safeguarded before concluding the action plan.

Any further decisions about the management of the case rest with the healthcare organisation, although Practitioner Performance Advice is available to provide impartial advice if this is required.

6.3 Action Plan Summary Table

The table sets out the key activities that are expected to take place each month of the plan as a quick reference. Each WPBA is a single activity, although feedback from individual WPBAs can be applied to more than one objective in the plan. The activities in the table are a summary of the activities in the bullet point text in each of the objectives. The summary table gives a quick view of what information the practitioner should gather at each stage of the action plan to demonstrate progress.

7.0 Agreement

Practitioner Performance Advice has provided a sample Agreement for the convenience of all parties. This template agreement will not fit all case circumstances and, as such, the agreement should be amended as appropriate to suit the case circumstances and local processes before the action plan is finalised and signed. The agreement should include a description of the consequences of successfully completing the action plan and also the consequences of not completing it or if there is a lack of engagement.

5. Additional considerations when using a PSR action plan

5.1 Health of the practitioner

Where a practitioner has been away from clinical practice for health reasons, or where a practitioner's health status has the potential to impact on performance, the healthcare organisation should seek assurance that the practitioner has occupational health or other relevant support and is considered well enough to participate in the programme of activities.

If the practitioner requires reasonable adjustments and these are known at the time of preparing the plan, we will reflect these in the action plan. However, it is for the healthcare organisation to monitor the need for adjustments and consider the practitioner's wellbeing. The healthcare organisation is expected to take the lead in updating the plan if the practitioner's requirements change, both prior to agreeing the plan and during the course of its implementation.

6. Additional information

If you require additional information on the contents of the action plan, please contact us on:

- Advice Line: 020 7811 2600
- Email: nhsr.psr@nhs.net

Alternatively, you can find additional information on our website:

<https://resolution.nhs.uk/services/practitioner-performance-advice/>

Appendix A

Professional Support and Remediation (PSR) service

Description of terms used in our action plans

1. Introduction

This document describes the terms and activities most commonly included in PSR action plans. This is to help to ensure that their meaning and what is required in the action plan are clear to both the employing/contracting organisation (the healthcare organisation) and the practitioner. The document also explains the roles of those involved in overseeing the programme and their main responsibilities. The definitions and descriptions are those of Practitioner Performance Advice and are specific to our action plans.

NOTE: Patient safety and public protection must be of paramount concern for all those involved in the preparation, implementation, oversight and completion of action plans.

2. Description of roles and responsibilities

Programme manager

The programme manager has overall responsibility for the programme set out in the action plan. The programme manager retains oversight of the plan throughout its duration and is responsible for ensuring that patient safety is protected.

The person assigned to the role of programme manager needs to have sufficient seniority and authority to make decisions about the action plan and the practitioner's progress, for example:

- In secondary care, the programme manager might be the Medical Director or Responsible Officer for the organisation, alternatively a Clinical Director or a person of equivalent seniority.
- In primary care, an identified programme manager for performance may coordinate the programme but responsibility for deciding whether progress has been made and outcomes achieved might rest elsewhere, such as with a Performance Advisory Group (PAG) or Performers List Decision Panel (PLDP).

The programme manager or PAG/PLDP receives reports about the practitioner's progress at set points in the action plan and assesses whether the practitioner has reached the standard expected to enable them to move to the next stage. The rationale for any decision made by the programme manager or PAG/PLDP in this regard should be explained to the practitioner and be clearly documented.

On completion of the action plan, the programme manager or PAG/PLDP makes the decision as to whether the practitioner has demonstrated satisfactory progress and whether they are practising at the level expected in a sustained and consistent way. The level should be that reasonably expected of a clinician in the same specialty at the equivalent grade in a similar role.

Where the remediation or return to work/reskilling programme is taking place at a location outside the healthcare organisation, e.g. with a different employer, the programme manager or PAG/PLDP fulfils this role through effective partnership with the host, usually underpinned by a clear written agreement between the parties.

To support the delivery of the action plan, the programme manager appoints or agrees the appointment of named individuals to the roles described below, based on the case circumstances and what is contained in the plan. It is the responsibility of the programme manager to ensure that these individuals understand their role and responsibilities in relation to the plan.

Clinical supervisor

The clinical supervisor should be an experienced clinician in the same specialty as the practitioner, usually at a senior level such as a consultant in secondary care or an experienced GP trainer for primary care medical practitioners. More than one clinical supervisor can be appointed if needed. The responsibilities of the clinical supervisor include ensuring safe practice, observing performance, providing feedback and monitoring progress against each objective. It is expected that the clinical supervisor is available to the practitioner to provide advice on clinical matters and to support their skills development.

It is for the programme manager to agree with the clinical supervisor which activities carried out by the practitioner should be supervised. (See section below on supervision for more details on the clinical supervisor's expected input.) The clinical supervisor meets with the practitioner in accordance with the level of supervision required, as set out in the action plan.

At each review point identified within the action plan, and on completion of the programme, the clinical supervisor reviews evidence of progress and provides a written report to the programme manager. (A progress review template is supplied with the action plan or is available for download from our website.)

The clinical supervisor's report provides a summary of the work experiences and areas of clinical practice completed by the practitioner, along with the level(s) of supervision received.

The clinical supervisor makes judgements about the standards reached and the improvements/progress made against each of the objectives, with a clear rationale and reference to the information on which the judgements are based (e.g. observation of X cases completed under direct supervision, Y WPBAs and a reflective report).

The report may include information supplied by the practitioner and others involved in the plan, such as feedback from an educational supervisor or confirmation that meetings with a coach and/or mentor have taken place. The clinical supervisor's reports are appended to the action plan.

Educational supervisor

Where the action plan relates to a practitioner who is a trainee, or has a particular focus on the development of knowledge and skills, an educational supervisor may be required.

An educational supervisor is a trainer who is selected and appropriately trained to be responsible for the overall supervision and management of the practitioner's educational progress during the plan. An educational supervisor may assist in making sure that the standards and training suggested within a plan are suitable for the role that the practitioner is expected to fulfil. They may also identify relevant educational activities or courses that would assist the practitioner in their completion of a plan. It is expected that an educational supervisor understands the principles of learning and professional development, the application of standards, workplace based assessments and progress review.

An educational supervisor may be sourced by the healthcare organisation from a Local Education and Training Board or relevant Royal College.

Coach

A coach may be required to help the practitioner reflect, develop self-awareness, learn from mistakes, set goals for change and practise improvements against these goals. (See section on coaching and mentoring below.)

Mentor

A mentor might be regarded as a 'challenging friend' for the practitioner. The mentor may advise on practical strategies to improve performance, or share knowledge and experience in the relevant area particularly if difficulties arise. Meetings with a mentor should be **separate to any supervision and coaching** and the discussions should be confidential as far as is practicably possible within the legal frameworks and with due regard to patient safety. (For more information see section on coaching and mentoring below.)

Assessor

Many of the activities included in an action plan require the input of an assessor. In these cases, the assessor usually observes the practitioner and provides feedback. It is essential that the assessor provides detailed and constructive feedback (both verbal and written) on strengths and areas for improvement, and that the practitioner has the opportunity to ask questions. An assessor may be the clinical supervisor or peers who are trained to assess clinicians.

The use of multiple assessors throughout the duration of the action plan enhances the validity and reliability of the process.

3. Description of activities

Action plans can include a number of different types of activities, depending on the circumstances of the case. Each activity generates information about the practitioner's performance in certain areas. While each piece of information is helpful in informing judgements, it is important that information is gathered from all the activities in the plan and that this is reviewed as a whole. This is to ensure that the outcomes of all activities in the action plan are considered when making judgements and that the practitioner's progress is assessed in the round.

Please note that in cases where a professional regulator has placed conditions or undertakings on the practitioner, we will take account of these in our action plans. For example, where General Medical Council (GMC) conditions or undertakings are present that include supervision, we will ensure that the supervisory arrangements in the action plan fit with the descriptions of supervision used by the GMC. The regulator's conditions or undertakings must always be adhered to by the practitioner.

The type of activities that may be included in an action plan are included below, along with a description of their purpose and what they entail.

Supervision

A **clinical supervisor's** role is to ensure safe practice, to monitor progress against milestones and report this to the programme manager. The monitoring role cannot be over-emphasised. Regular contact with the practitioner ensures timely, robust and reliable feedback can be reported throughout the programme. This will allow early intervention if problems arise.

Remediation or return to work/reskilling programmes describe the level of clinical supervision appropriate for the practitioner based on their individual circumstances, and generally operate on the principle that the degree of supervision can be reduced as the practitioner demonstrates appropriate progress at review points. There are usually different supervision levels contained in action plans drafted by us, as described below:

- **Direct supervision:** Activities carried out by the practitioner involving direct contact with patients should be observed by the clinical supervisor (or a named deputy) to ensure patient safety and public protection. For other activities not involving direct patient contact, the clinical supervisor (or a named deputy) should be present (e.g. same ward or within the practice) to provide support and feedback, as required. For medical practitioners the GMC's description of directly supervised would most closely fit with our description of direct supervision.
- **Indirect supervision:** The clinical supervisor should oversee all activities carried out by the practitioner involving direct contact with patients and directly observe a selection of those activities, to ensure patient safety. This means they do not have to observe every activity but they should be available to

provide support and advice, if required. A selection of activities not involving patient contact should also be observed regularly so that feedback and support can be provided where needed, but not necessarily in every instance. For medical practitioners the GMC's description of close supervision would most closely fit with our description of indirect supervision.

- **Ad-hoc supervision:** The clinical supervisor should observe and provide feedback on activities on an ad-hoc basis, meaning that this can be at the request of the practitioner, to provide guidance and feedback, or at the discretion of the supervisor. The clinical supervisor should be available to provide support and advice, if required but is not required to be on site with the practitioner at all at all times. The GMC's description of supervised would most closely fit with our description of ad-hoc supervision.

NOTE: The full text of the GMC's Glossary for undertakings and conditions is available via this link: https://www.gmc-uk.org/-/media/documents/DC4327_Glossary_of_Terms_used_in_Fitness_to_Practise_Actions_25416199.pdf

Reflective practice

Reflective practice is the application of the skill of reflection with the intention of improving professional practice, usually in the following ways:

- self-assessment of practice/performance in a given situation to identify areas for development and improvement
- looking for learning points within a scenario or situation through reflection and consideration of how the learning might be applied in other situations to enhance performance
- identifying learning/development needs, e.g. as part of the appraisal/personal development plan/revalidation cycle, and planning to address these to improve practice.

A reflective learning log of personal development is a structured way of capturing/evidencing learning, progress against objectives, clinical/behavioural skills and knowledge development. A reflective learning log does not need to include full details of an experience, rather it should be a record of what has been learned, tried and critically reflected upon, as well as showing how the practitioner intends to or already has changed their practice in response. The learning log builds up over the course of the action plan and should be shared with the clinical supervisor at interim and final review points.

NOTE: In line with current guidance, all details of those involved in a reflective event – including patients, their relatives, and colleagues – must be fully anonymised. In addition, precise locations, dates and times should not be specified. See the full Reflective Practice Toolkit for further information: http://www.aomrc.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2018/08/Reflective_Practice_Toolkit_AoMRC_CoPMED_0818.pdf

4. Professional Activities

- **Continuing Professional Development (CPD)**

CPD is any learning outside of undergraduate education or postgraduate training that helps practitioners maintain and improve their performance. It covers the development of their knowledge, skills, attitudes and behaviours across all areas of their professional practice. It includes both formal and informal learning activities. The purpose of CPD is to help improve the safety and quality of care provided for patients and the public.

Medical practitioners: [Continuing professional development - GMC \(gmc-uk.org\)](https://www.gmc-uk.org/continuous-professional-development)

Within action plans, CPD may refer to a single activity, course or development action required to support the achievement of the overall aim of the action plan, or the objectives within a plan.

- **Quality Improvement Process (QIP) also described as audit.**

The completion of a quality improvement process (described in some specialties as audit) requires the practitioner to show evidence of their understanding of, and participation in, audit or service improvement activity. A quality improvement process seeks to improve patient care and outcomes through systematic review of care against explicit criteria and the implementation of change.

Within action plans, the parameters of an audit are generally agreed between the practitioner and a clinical supervisor, with the practitioner leading the audit, gathering data and presenting its outcomes, conclusions and resulting plans for change and/or improvement.

5. Workplace based assessments (WPBA)

WPBAs are recommended based on the particular aspects of practice which require feedback, e.g. Case-based Discussion to target knowledge, clinical decision making and clinical management, and Mini Clinical Evaluation Exercise for overall performance within patient encounters.

The number and frequency of WPBAs depend on the nature and significance of the performance concern(s) or length of time away from practice for return to work or reskilling programmes, together with the needs of the practitioner in terms of feedback. WPBAs are also recommended to inform decisions on progress at review points within the programme.

We recommend WPBAs that have been demonstrated as being robust within the healthcare context, and when we select tools we draw upon the work and evidence of the Royal Colleges and the Academy of Medical Royal College.

A description of the most commonly used WPBAs within remediation and return to work/reskilling plans is provided below: further guidance on their effective implementation can be provided by one of our Assessment and Remediation Advisers upon request. Please note that this list is not exhaustive and other tools will be used when appropriate.

- **Scenario-based Discussion (SbD)**

SbD is a formative process and is used to address gaps in knowledge and skills. For example, the practitioner discusses a hypothetical clinical scenario with their clinical supervisor in order to understand the appropriate or expected management of the case. This may be particularly useful in the early stages of remediation or return to work/reskilling plans, or for the discussion of particularly complex or rare case scenarios.

- **Mini Clinical Evaluation Exercise (Mini-CEX)**

Mini-CEX is an established tool which involves the assessor observing the practitioner during a patient encounter, and providing ratings. Judgements of 'Unsatisfactory', 'Satisfactory' or 'Superior' are made about a range of skills, such as examination and diagnosis, technical skills, clinical decision making, communication skills, professionalism, and organisation.

- **Directly Observed Procedural Skills (DOPS)**

DOPS is a tool which focuses primarily on the assessment of a specific clinical procedure. Standardised checklist forms are used by the assessor, following observation of the practitioner completing the procedure to identify areas of good performance and aspects which may need further improvement.

- **Procedure Based Assessment (PBA)**

PBA is a generic assessment tool that is used across all surgical specialties. A PBA aims to measure a surgeon's ability to perform all aspects of a surgical procedure by direct observation in the workplace. A PBA encompasses six areas of competency: consent, preoperative planning, preoperative preparation, exposure and closure, intraoperative technique and postoperative management. A global summary is given which quantifies the practitioner's ability to perform the procedure independently. PBAs are expected to produce clear information for both the supervisor and practitioner about the current level of competence and areas on which to focus in terms of development.

- **Objective Structured Assessment of Technical Skills (OSATS)**

OSATS is another WPBA tool which records judgements on the practitioner's performance with regard to a particular technical skill (including non-technical components such as communication with staff/patients). Although the approach used is similar to Mini-CEX and DOPS, OSATS may be preferred in some specialties

when they are recommended by the relevant Royal Colleges e.g. Royal College of Obstetricians & Gynaecologists and the Royal College of Ophthalmologists.

- **Case-based Discussion (CbD)**

CbD is a tool to assess the knowledge, clinical decision making and clinical management of the practitioner following their presentation of a case and subsequent questioning by the assessor. Although judgements on the standard of performance are recorded, CbD should involve the provision of detailed, structured feedback to the practitioner on their strengths and areas needing improvement.

- **Case-Based Assessment (CBA)**

CBA is a similar approach to CbD in that the practitioner's knowledge, clinical decision making and management of a case are assessed through questions targeting a specific case. However, these questions are derived directly from case information, such as patient records, rather than the practitioner's presentation of a case.

- **Colleague Multi-Source Feedback (MSF)**

MSF is useful in obtaining the views of a range of clinical and non-clinical team members regarding the performance of the practitioner over a period of time. The strength of this tool lies in the qualitative feedback (comments provided by participants) and the practitioner's reflection on this information.

Care should be taken when implementing MSF to maintain the anonymity of participants when providing feedback to the practitioner, and feedback should be obtained from an appropriate number of raters (dependent on the tool used) if using the results to inform decision making about the practitioner's progress. Practitioners should rate themselves in each of the areas within the tool, and reflect upon any differences between their own self-ratings and those of other team members in order to gain insight and develop strategies for improvement.

The use of a coach alongside MSF can be helpful in delivering the feedback to the practitioner, to discuss the comments/ratings of colleagues and to aid reflection.

- **Patient feedback**

Patient feedback (often in the form of a Patient Satisfaction Questionnaire) is used to obtain the views of patients on the performance of the practitioner with regard to non-clinical skills, such as communication and professionalism. Although useful, particularly any free text comments provided by the patient, the ratings are prone to positive bias, and many responses are required (25-30+) to achieve a reliable result. This tool is best used qualitatively, alongside any other information about the practitioner, such as complaints and compliments, and provides information to aid the practitioner's self-reflection.

- **Other WPBAs**

Although some of the above WPBAs may include the assessment of non-clinical skills, such as communication, teamwork and management/leadership skills, other tools exist which focus on these areas alone. Such tools may be included in the action plan and, in these cases, a description of the recommended activity will be provided within the plan.

There is also a range of other WPBAs specific to certain clinical specialties and used by Royal Colleges in their training programmes which may be referred to in the action plans that we draft but are not described in this document. A description of these additional WPBA will be included in our action plans when used.

6. Coaching and mentoring

In the context of professional development of healthcare professionals, and for the purpose of action plans, the following descriptions of coaching and mentoring are used.

Coaching

Coaching is a process which is forward-focused and led by the development needs of the practitioner. For PSR purposes, the practitioners needs are usually identified either by the practitioner themselves or, through an assessment or investigation report.

Coaching in a PSR context is separate to clinical supervision or clinical coaching. It does not involve observing or providing direct feedback on clinical activity (i.e. surgical and technical skills or assessment of patient's condition etc.) and a coach is also different to a mentor.

A coach's aim would be to develop specific non-clinical skills such as, leadership, active listening, communication or teamworking skills or those related to insight, motivation and self-awareness, to support the practitioner's achievement of the objectives within the action plan. A coach would guide a practitioner to develop practical strategies for dealing with situations arising in the workplace, that they can use as part of an action plan and continue to use once the action plan is complete.

The coach and practitioner would usually meet outside the clinical environment at regular intervals over a defined period of time, to develop the practitioner's behaviours.

A coach uses a range of skills, including listening, questioning, relating learning to the practitioner's experience and challenging to promote personal development, balance and effectiveness. Effective coaching can assist the practitioner in changing behaviours and improving their ability to deal with day to day, as well as more challenging situations with a resulting increase in productivity, job satisfaction and feelings of wellbeing. Good coaching can also support improved communication skills, leadership and management and enhance team function.

Coaching is more than feedback. While feedback provides information about what was observed compared to an expected standard, coaching moves beyond feedback to provide actionable suggestions for improvement with the aim of enhancing performance in a specific area.

Coaching is a conversation where someone feels heard and gains new insight into their own work that will make a difference. This means that it is useful in all kinds of work conversations as well as formal coaching. It's all about working simply and empowering someone else to think.

The coach should have suitable training and a clear understanding of the skills or behaviours in question, and how these might be developed. Their role is to support the practitioner to improve their performance through reflection and building strategies for change/improvement which address such issues as insight, motivation, self-awareness, cognition and behaviour. Depending on the requirements of the practitioner, the coach may specialise in certain areas (e.g. leadership) or provide overarching support for the development of insight and self-directed learning.

The practitioner is expected to engage with the coach to expand their awareness and understanding of situations they face, explore options and shift their behaviour in ways that produce positive results. Where coaching is suggested as an intervention within PSR action plans, initial areas for focus will usually be included in the action plan (i.e. leadership, managing stress, communication skills etc.). After the initial sessions the practitioner is expected to identify areas of their behaviours they would like to change or, work experiences that they would like to explore with the coach, with a view to improving their effectiveness through the development of relevant strategies and new behaviours that can be deployed in the workplace.

Coaching is largely a confidential process, although progress reports should be made available to the clinical supervisor and/or programme manager.

The coach might have a clinical background or be a non-clinician with relevant training and experience in the healthcare context and in dealing with senior practitioners. The coach must have had training and experience in coaching techniques, ideally with suitable accreditation. There are different types of coach available and it is important that the person appointed to the role has the right skills and experience to meet the development needs of the practitioner.

Mentor

A mentor provides personal confidential support for a practitioner, in a safe environment outside the line management system.

Mentoring is a developmental process where the mentor offers guidance and help with developing reflective skills, alternative ways to deal with difficulties and to provide challenge to the practitioner in a safe environment. The mentor's role might be described as that of a "challenging friend", providing an environment where the

practitioner can test out options and opportunities outside the clinical situation and explore the best approach to be applied in the workplace.

The mentor should be someone whose views and feedback are likely to be respected by the practitioner (the mentee).

Mentoring is separate from clinical supervision and coaching. It has no formal input to a performance management structure, appraisal or revalidation process. However, for the purposes of PSR action plans it is expected that the practitioner and mentor would confirm to a programme manager at intervals that meetings and other contact arrangements have been maintained and that discussions are professional and productive. The actual topics and conversations between mentor and mentee are confidential and a matter for them only, taking account of legal and regulatory requirements and with due regard to patient safety.

The mentor need not be from the same specialty or healthcare organisation as the practitioner (although they often will be). They should be suitably experienced, have a good understanding of the working environment of the practitioner and have training to fulfil the role. In relation to action plans, it is important that the mentor is acceptable to both the practitioner and healthcare organisation.

The agenda for meetings is generally set by the practitioner, who should note issues arising in the workplace so that they can be explored with the mentor on a regular basis.

The mentor should be available to provide relevant support within an agreed framework, including meeting at scheduled intervals as described in a PSR action plan. Where there is no separate action plan, a formal schedule of meetings/contact should be recorded at the outset of a mentoring arrangement and an agreement covering the scope of relationship between mentor and mentee should be included and signed by both parties. This might include arrangements for ad-hoc or short-notice contact in response to unforeseen situations arising, should the practitioner need support outside of scheduled meetings.

Mentoring arrangements may take place over an extended period. In our experience practitioners undertaking action plans have found access to a mentor to be a valuable support. As a result, the practitioner and mentor may choose to continue their arrangement as an ongoing career support, beyond the point where the action plan has concluded.