



# Resolution

2<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
151 Buckingham Palace Road  
London  
SW1W 9SZ  
**Telephone:** 020 7811 2700

July 2020  
FOI\_4657

The following information was requested on 2 July 2020:

*I am writing to make contact with someone in your department who can aid me in attaining data linked to negligence claims relating to anaesthesia from May 2007 until December 2019.*

*I believe a similar request was made under the freedom of information act in 2007 for a published journal article by Dr K Szygula (journal article attached).*

*The purpose for my request is to analyse this data with the intention of writing a similar, more recent journal article for my peers in the anaesthetic speciality.*

## **Our Response**

Although NHS Resolution may hold some information relating to claims such as these, due to the way claims are recorded on our claims database, we will not be able to identify such specific cases. It might be helpful to explain that when claims are notified to NHS Resolution they are categorised against pre-defined cause, injury and speciality [codes](#), unfortunately we are unable to provide the low level data that you require. Therefore, while there may be information held in our records, we are not readily able to identify the relevant files by searching the database. To do so would involve a review of all cases to extract the low level data. NHS Resolution receives thousands of claims each year.

We could provide you with high level data relating to the specialty Anaesthesia. It could cover number of claims received for the specialty in the relevant financial years; a breakdown by primary injury; a breakdown by primary cause; numbers settled; numbers with no damages paid and numbers currently open. Please let us know if you would be interested in this data.

Therefore, we estimate that the cost of complying with the request in its entirety would exceed the 'appropriate limit'. Section 12(1) of the FOIA is a provision which allows a public authority to refuse to comply with a request for information where the cost of compliance is estimated to exceed a set limit (known as the 'appropriate limit'). The 'appropriate limit' for NHS Resolution is £450. This equates to 18 hours of work at the rate of £25 per hour set out in the 'Fees Regulations'.

We estimate that it would take on average 10 minutes to locate, retrieve and extract the requested information from an individual file. It may therefore be the case that we would be able to examine only 108 files within 18 hours.

In addition, given the complexity of clinical negligence claims and their litigation, it is possible for a single electronic or paper-based file to contain hundreds of documents in a variety of formats.

Please also note even if we were able to carry out a review of 108 random files we may not be able to provide you with the level of detail you require owing to Data Protection grounds.

We would need to suppress low numbers or any information that could possibly lead to the identification of claimants, patients or individuals where disclosure would breach the General Data Protection Regulation.

In the past we have occasionally provided information based on the incident description field. However, this search has proven to be incomplete and provided a misleading picture as there are a number of causes for claims and they are settled for a number of multi-factorial reasons and the primary cause and injury may not relate entirely to what has been entered into the short free-text field. This approach would also be reliant on the precise phrase knee surgery or knee having been used (and spelt correctly) in the free text field rather than other synonyms or general descriptions of the patient's condition/treatment. As such we have decided not to carry out searches based on the incident description field as it is very unreliable.

Further to our obligations to provide advice and assistance, you may find it helpful to review the work of the [Getting It Right First Time team](#) with whom NHS Resolution has been working with to undertake in-depth analysis of our claims data. They have produced a number of [reports](#) from analysing our claims data which has been shared following approval of the confidentiality advisory group to the use of confidential patient information for this purpose.

If you would like to know how data is categorised in our Claims database please see the following link: [Glossary](#)

**This concludes our response to your request.**

If you are not satisfied with the service that you have received in response to your information request, it is open to you to make a complaint and request a formal review of our decisions. If you choose to do this, you should write to [Tinku Mitra](#), Head of Corporate and Information Governance for NHS Resolution, within 28 days of your receipt of this reply. Reviews of decisions made in relation to information requests are carried out by a person who was not involved in the original decision-making about the request.

If you are not content with the outcome of your complaint, you may apply directly to the Information Commissioner for a review of the decision. Generally, the Information Commissioner will not make a decision unless you have exhausted the local complaints procedure. The address of the Information Commissioner's Office is:

Wycliffe House  
Water Lane  
Wilmslow  
Cheshire  
SK9 5AF